

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA

RENE ROMO, an individual; BENJAMIN
WEAVER, an individual; WILLIAM
EVERETT WARINNER, an individual;
JESSICA BARRETT, an individual; JUNE
KEENER, an individual; RICHARD
QUINN BOYLAN, an individual; and
BONITA AGAN, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KEN DETZNER, in his official capacity as
Florida Secretary of State, and PAM
BONDI, in her official capacity as
Attorney General of the State of Florida,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2012-CA-00412

RECEIVED
CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SECOND
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA

12/10/12 - 7 PM 4:06

FILED

THE LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF
FLORIDA, *et al.*

Plaintiffs,

v.

KEN DETZNER, in his official capacity as
Florida Secretary of State; THE FLORIDA
SENATE; MICHAEL HARIDOPOLOS, in
his official capacity as President of the
Florida Senate; THE FLORIDA HOUSE
OF REPRESENTATIVES; and DEAN
CANNON, in his official capacity as
Speaker of the Florida House of
Representatives,

Defendants

CASE NO. 2012-CA-00490

IN
COMPUTER

AMENDED COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiffs bring this action to challenge the constitutionality of Florida's congressional reapportionment plan, Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 1174 ("2012 Congressional Plan"), and the constitutionality of individual districts in the 2012 Congressional Plan.

2. Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution provides the standards for reapportionment of Florida's congressional districts. Unfortunately, in conducting the 2012 congressional reapportionment process, the Florida Legislature violated these constitutional requirements. Plaintiffs bring this action to ensure that Florida's congressional districts and reapportionment plan abide by the fair, neutral, and constitutionally-mandated requirements of Article III, Section 20.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiffs Rene Romo, Benjamin Weaver, Jessica Barrett, June Keener, Richard Quinn Boylan, William Everett Warinner, and Bonita Agan are citizens of the United States and residents and qualified voters in the State of Florida.

4. Plaintiff Rene Romo resides at 626 Caroline Street in Key West, Florida. Under the 2012 Congressional Plan, she resides in Congressional District 26.

5. Plaintiff Benjamin Weaver resides at 1649 Stockton Street in Jacksonville, Florida. Under the 2012 Congressional Plan, he resides in Congressional District 4.

6. Plaintiff William Everett Warinner resides at 306 NE 5th Avenue in Gainesville, Florida. Under the 2012 Congressional Plan, he resides in Congressional District 5.

7. Plaintiff Jessica Barrett resides at 217 Palm Avenue in Auburndale, Florida. Under the 2012 Congressional Plan, she resides in Congressional District 10.

8. Plaintiff June Keener resides at 9424 Via Segovia in New Port Richey, Florida. Under the 2012 Congressional Plan, she resides in Congressional District 12.

9. Plaintiff Richard Quinn Boylan resides at 2950 Alton Drive in St. Pete Beach, Florida. Under the 2012 Congressional Plan, he resides in Congressional District 13.

10. Plaintiff Bonita Agan resides at 251 Driftwood Road SE in St. Petersburg, Florida. Under the 2012 Congressional Plan, she resides in Congressional District 14.

11. Defendant Ken Detzner is the Secretary of State for the State of Florida. In his official capacity, Defendant Detzner is the chief elections officer for the State of Florida and is charged with administering Florida election laws.

12. Defendant Pam Bondi is the Attorney General of the State of Florida. In her official capacity, Defendant Bondi is the chief legal officer of the State of Florida.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Article V, Section 5(b) of the Florida Constitution, and has authority to grant declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to Fla. Stat. §§ 86.011 and 26.012(3) respectively.

14. Venue is proper in Leon County pursuant to Fla. Stat. § 47.011.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

15. On November 2, 2010, Florida voters overwhelmingly voted to amend Florida's Constitution to include a provision requiring that fair and neutral standards be used when drawing congressional district lines.

16. The congressional reapportionment provision, designated "Amendment 6" on the ballot and now contained in Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution, provides:

SECTION 20. Standards for establishing congressional district boundaries.—In establishing congressional district boundaries:

(a) No apportionment plan or individual district shall be drawn with the intent to favor or disfavor a political party or an incumbent; and districts shall not be drawn with the intent or result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process or to diminish their ability to elect representatives of their choice; and districts shall consist of contiguous territory.

(b) Unless compliance with the standards in this subsection conflicts with the standards in subsection (a) or with federal law, districts shall be as nearly equal in population as is practicable; districts shall be compact; and districts shall, where feasible, utilize existing political and geographical boundaries.

(c) The order in which the standards within subsections (a) and (b) of this section are set forth shall not be read to establish any priority of one standard over the other within that subsection.

17. On February 9, 2012, the Florida Legislature passed the 2012 Congressional Plan.

18. On February 16, 2012, Governor Rick Scott signed the 2012 Congressional Plan into law.

19. The 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, the 2012 Congressional Plan:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. was drawn with the intent to favor certain incumbents;
- d. was drawn with the intent to disfavor certain incumbents;
- e. was drawn with the intent to deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process;

- f. was drawn with the intent to diminish the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- g. was drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process;
- h. was drawn with the result of diminishing the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- i. contains districts that are not compact; and
- j. contains districts that fail to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

20. Congressional District 4 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 4:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. was drawn with the intent to favor an incumbent;
- d. was drawn with the intent to deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process;
- e. was drawn with the intent to diminish the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- f. was drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process;
- g. was drawn with the result of diminishing the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;

- h. is not compact; and
- i. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

21. Congressional District 5 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 5:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. was drawn with the intent to favor an incumbent;
- d. was drawn with the intent to deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process;
- e. was drawn with the intent to diminish the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- f. was drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process;
- g. was drawn with the result of diminishing the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- h. is not compact; and
- i. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

22. Congressional District 9 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 9:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;

- c. was drawn with the intent to deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process;
- d. was drawn with the intent to diminish the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- e. was drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process;
- f. was drawn with the result of diminishing the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- g. is not compact; and
- h. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

23. Congressional District 10 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 10:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. was drawn with the intent to favor an incumbent;
- d. was drawn with the intent to deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process;
- e. was drawn with the intent to diminish the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- f. was drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process;

- g. was drawn with the result of diminishing the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- h. is not compact; and
- i. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

24. Congressional District 12 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 12:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. was drawn with the intent to favor an incumbent;
- d. is not compact; and
- e. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

25. Congressional District 13 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 13:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. was drawn with the intent to favor an incumbent;
- d. was drawn with the intent to deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process;
- e. was drawn with the intent to diminish the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;

- f. was drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process;
- g. was drawn with the result of diminishing the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice; and
- h. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

26. Congressional District 14 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. was drawn with the intent to favor an incumbent;
- d. was drawn with the intent to deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process;
- e. was drawn with the intent to diminish the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- f. was drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process;
- g. was drawn with the result of diminishing the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- h. is not compact; and
- i. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

27. Congressional District 20 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 20:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. was drawn with the intent to deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process;
- d. was drawn with the intent to diminish the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- e. was drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process;
- f. was drawn with the result of diminishing the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- g. is not compact; and
- h. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

28. Congressional District 21 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 21:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. is not compact; and
- d. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

29. Congressional District 22 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 22:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. is not compact; and
- d. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

30. Congressional District 23 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 23:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. was drawn with the intent to deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process;
- d. was drawn with the intent to diminish the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- e. was drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process;
- f. was drawn with the result of diminishing the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- g. is not compact; and
- h. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

31. Congressional District 24 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 24:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. was drawn with the intent to deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process;
- d. was drawn with the intent to diminish the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- e. was drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process;
- f. was drawn with the result of diminishing the ability of racial and language minorities to elect representatives of their choice;
- g. is not compact; and
- h. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

32. Congressional District 26 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 26:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. was drawn with the intent to favor an incumbent; and
- d. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

33. Congressional District 27 under the 2012 Congressional Plan violates multiple aspects of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and deprives Plaintiffs of rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution. In particular, Congressional District 27:

- a. was drawn with the intent to favor a political party;
- b. was drawn with the intent to disfavor a political party;
- c. was drawn with the intent to favor an incumbent; and
- d. fails to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible.

34. On information and belief, absent an injunction from this Court, Defendants intend to and will conduct primary and general elections for the United States House of Representatives on the basis of the congressional districts set forth in the 2012 Congressional Plan.

35. Plaintiffs intend to and will vote in the state primary and general elections to be held in 2012 and thereafter for candidates for the United States House of Representatives. If those elections are conducted by Defendants on the basis of an unconstitutional reapportionment plan and unconstitutional congressional districts, Plaintiffs and all other similarly situated individuals will be further deprived of rights guaranteed by the Florida Constitution.

COUNT 1
(Violation of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution)

36. Plaintiffs restate and incorporate by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 29 above as though fully set forth herein.

37. The 2012 Congressional Plan was drawn with the intent to favor and to disfavor certain political parties, was drawn with the intent to favor and to disfavor certain incumbents, was drawn with the intent to deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process and diminish their ability to elect representatives

of their choice, was drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process and diminishing their ability to elect representatives of their choice, contains non-compact districts, and contains districts that fail to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible. As a result, the 2012 Congressional Plan as a whole violates Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution. The 2012 Congressional Plan as a whole deprives Plaintiffs and all other citizens of Florida of the rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution.

38. The 2012 Congressional Plan contains districts, including but not limited to districts in which Plaintiffs reside, that were drawn with the intent to favor and to disfavor certain political parties, were drawn with the intent to favor and to disfavor certain incumbents, were drawn with the intent to deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process and diminish their ability to elect representatives of their choice, were drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial and language minorities to participate in the political process and diminishing their ability to elect representatives of their choice, are non-compact, and fail to utilize existing political and geographical boundaries where feasible, all in violation of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution. These districts deprive Plaintiffs and all similarly situated citizens of Florida of the rights guaranteed them by the Florida Constitution.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that this Court:

1. Declare, pursuant to Fla. Stat. § 86.011, that the 2012 Congressional Plan deprives Plaintiffs and all citizens of Florida of their rights under Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution, and that the 2012 Congressional Plan is unconstitutional, unlawful, null, and void;

or, in the alternative, declare that Congressional Districts 4, 5, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, and 27 under the 2012 Congressional Plan deprive Plaintiffs and other residents of these districts of their rights under Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution, and that these districts are unconstitutional, unlawful, null, and void;

2. Issue a permanent injunction and judgment, pursuant to Fla .Stat. § 26.012(3), barring Defendants from calling, holding, supervising, or certifying any further elections under the 2012 Congressional Plan; or, in the alternative, enjoin Defendants from calling, holding, supervising, or certifying any further elections using Congressional Districts 4, 5, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, and 27 under the 2012 Congressional Plan;

3. Hold hearings, consider briefing and evidence, and otherwise take actions necessary to determine and order valid plans for new congressional districts for the State of Florida; and

4. Grant such other or further relief the Court deems to be appropriate, including but not limited to an award of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and reasonable costs.

Dated: March 7, 2012

By:



Joseph W. Hatchett (FL Bar #34486)
AKERMAN SENTERFITT
106 E. College Ave., Suite 1200
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Tel: (850) 224-9634
Fax: (850) 222-0103
E-mail: joseph.hatchett@akerman.com

Karen C. Dyer
Florida Bar No.: 716324
E-mail: kdyer@bsflp.com
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
121 South Orange Avenue, Suite 840
Orlando, Florida 32801
Telephone: (407) 425-7118
Facsimile: (407) 425-7047

Jon L. Mills
Florida Bar No.: 148286
E-mail: jmills@bsflp.com
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
100 SE 2nd Street, Suite 2800
Miami, Florida 33131-2144
Telephone: (305) 539-8400
Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by e-mail and by United States mail to the following parties on this ____ day of March, 2012.

Counsel for the Secretary of State

Daniel E. Nordby, General Counsel
Ashley E. Davis, Assistant General Counsel
Florida Department of State
R. A. Gray Building
500 South Bronough Street, Suite 100
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250
Daniel.Nordby@dos.myflorida.com
Ashley.Davis@dos.myflorida.com

Counsel for the Florida Senate

Michael A. Carvin
Jones Day
51 Louisiana Avenue N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 879-7643
macarvin@jonesday.com

Andy Velosy Bardos
The Florida Senate
404 S. Monroe Street, Suite 409
Tallahassee, FL 32399
(850) 487-5229
bardos.andy@flsenate.gov

Cynthia Skelton Tunnicliff
Peter M. Dunbar
Pennington, Moore, et. al.
215 South Monroe Street
Second Floor
Tallahassee, FL 32301
(850) 222-3533
cynthia@penningtonlaw.com
pete@penningtonlaw.com

Counsel for Common Cause Florida, the National Council Of La Raza and the League Of Women Voters Of Florida, Inc.

Ronald Gustav Meyer
Meyer, Brooks, Demma & Blohm
P.O. Box 1547
Tallahassee, FL 32302
(850) 878-5212
rmeyer@meyerbrookslaw.com

Jessica Ring Amunson
Michael B. DeSanctis
Kristen M. Rogers
Paul M. Smith
Jenner & Block, LLP
1099 New York Ave, N.W., Suite 900
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 639-6000

jamunson@jenner.com
mdesanctis@jenner.com
krogers@jenner.com
psmith@jenner.com

J. Gerald Hebert
J. Gerald Hebert, P.C.
191 Somerville Street, Unit 415
Alexandria, VA 22304
(703) 628-4673
hebert@votelaw.com

Counsel for the Honorable Pamela Jo Bondi

Baine Winship
General Counsel
Office of the Attorney General
The Capitol, PL-01
Tallahassee, FL 32399
(850) 414-3300
Blaine.Winship@myfloridalegal.com

Counsel for the Florida House Of Representatives

Miguel A. De Grandy
Miguel De Grandy, P.A.
800 S. Douglas Road, Suite 850
Coral Gables, FL 33134
(305) 444-7737; Fax (305) 443-2616
mad@degrandylaw.com

George T. Levesque
General Counsel
Florida House of Representatives
422 The Capital
Tallahassee, FL 32399
(850) 488-0451
george.levesque@myfloridahouse.gov

Charles Talley Wells
Gray Robinson, P.A.
301 E. Pine Street, Suite 1400
Orlando, FL 32801
(407) 843-8880; Fax (850) 222-3494

Charles.Wells@gray-robinson.com

George N. Meros, Jr.
Jason L. Unger
Allen C. Winsor
Charles Burns Upton, II
Gray Robinson, P.A.
P.O. Box 11189
Tallahassee, FL 32302
(850) 577-9090; Fax (850) 577-3311
george.meros@gray-robinson.com
jason.unger@gray-robinson.com
allen.winsor@gray-robinson.com
cb.upton@gray-robinson.com



Joseph W. Hatchett